EPPING FOREST DISTRICT COUNCIL NOTES OF A MEETING OF SAFER, CLEANER, GREENER SCRUTINY STANDING PANEL HELD ON THURSDAY, 29 APRIL 2010 IN COMMITTEE ROOM 1, CIVIC OFFICES, HIGH STREET, EPPING AT 7.00 - 8.30 PM

Members Present:	A Boyce (Vice-Chairman, in the Chair), R Barrett, R Frankel and D Jacobs
Other members present:	Mrs M Sartin
Apologies for Absence:	A Clark, M Colling, Miss R Cohen, Ms J Hedges, R Law and Mrs E Webster
Officers Present	J Gilbert (Director of Environment and Street Scene) and A Hendry (Democratic Services Officer)

41. SUBSTITUTE MEMBERS (COUNCIL MINUTE 39 - 23.7.02)

The Panel noted there were no substitute members.

42. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

No declarations of interest were made.

43. NOTES OF THE LAST MEETING

The notes from 25 February 2010 were agreed as a correct record.

44. TERMS OF REFERENCE / WORK PROGRAMME

(a) Terms of Reference

To be considered in relation with item 7 on the agenda, 'revision of terms of reference'.

(b) Work Programme

Item 1 – *Safer Cleaner Greener Strategy* – noted that (a) and (c) was now completed. Reports on (d) and (e) to go to the July meeting.

Item 2 – CCTV – action plan to the October meeting.

Item 3 – *Essex Waste Procurement Process* – there was a need to redraft the wording on this item.

Item 4 – *Waste Management Partnership* – (b), (c) and (e) had been completed; (a) was ongoing; (d) is on track.

Item 5 – *Nottingham Declaration* – updating report to go to the July meeting.

Item 6 – *Bobbingworth Tip* – noted that grass and seeding had not taken place and it would stay closed to the public for the time being. The Management Group was still to be set up.

Item 7 – *Flooding Matters* – (a) had been taken up by the LDF Cabinet Committee and could be taken of the work programme.

(b) the T&F report has now been published and a Partnership has been established, their first meeting will be on 27 May 2010. This can now become a long term monitoring process.

Item 8 – *Crime and Disorder scrutiny matters* – There had been two scrutiny meetings on this so far, but there had not been much public response. If appropriate the Scrutiny Committee could co-opt members of the public for specific tasks.

Item 9 – *Leisure issues* – (a) noted that the Waltham Abbey Sports Centre had successfully transferred to the King Harold School which was using the sports centre for public use. Noted that SLM were working on the preplanning application for the new sports hall at Waltham Abbey Pool before it is put to the planning officers. (b) noted that most of the play facilities were now completed.

45. DEFRA CONSULTATION ON INTRODUCTION OF RESTRICTIONS ON LANDFILLING OF CERTAIN WASTES

The Director of Environment and the Street Scene, John Gilbert, introduced the report on Government consultation on the steps which might be taken to further reduce the emissions of greenhouse gases arising from the landfilling of waste. The Government was now consulting upon a number of options as set out below:

- (a) do nothing;
- (b) landfill bans with or without pre-sorting;
- (c) pre-sorting but without a landfill ban; and
- (d) introduce producer responsibilities linked to recycling targets.

This was a first stage consultation and it was anticipated that a further detailed consultation would be undertaken on the outcomes at some point in the future. This consultation paper sought a response by 10 June 2010.

The Panel noted that the revised EU Waste Framework Directive (WFD) set out the following waste hierarchy:

- (a) prevention;
- (b) preparation for re-use;
- (c) recycling;
- (d) other recovery (e.g. energy generation); and
- (e) disposal.

Paragraph 15 of the report set out the suggested response that this Council should make. The responses were of a general nature rather than dealing with the specific questions posed in the consultation document.

Councillor Jacobs noted that the paper setting out the arrangement between Defra and Brussels over co-mingling and kerb-side sorting said that they each had a part to play in different circumstances. What did different circumstances mean? Mr Gilbert was unsure but he thought they were trying to cover all bases. If it were co-mingled collection then it would need sorting once collected. Councillor Jacobs commented that it would be more helpful if Article 11 (1) had been in force years ago. What would happen to the Material Recycling Facilities (MRFs) when there was kerbside recycling. They seem to have changed the rules just when we have just got going and it would make things more costly to collect. The Council could keep the clear sacks for paper and also the metal and plastics for later sorting. Mr Gilbert said that "Kerb Siders" had three hoppers to separate materials but it was much slower to put the recycling into the vehicle than co-mingled material. Councillor Jacobs replied that if we had this system we may not get charged gate fees, Mr Gilbert agreed.

Councillor Barrett said that the Council had started from a nil base and should be congratulated at how far it had got. However he had reservations around the value of collecting it and whether there were markets. Mr Gilbert explained that recyclate were like any other commodity and prices fluctuated dependent upon demand and market forces.

Councillor Barrett said that at present the Council had the public on their side but if it was all co-mingled then we may lose the public's help.

Councillor Frankel said that MRFs seemed to come down to costs and efficiency. If they are that good then it points to co-mingled waste, but we should not be frightened to require the public to do their own sorting.

Councillor Jacobs asked if the EU expected the Council to take more interest in commercial waste. Mr Gilbert replied that yes they did and that was set out in another document dealing with the definitions of waste. This would eventually alter targets for the Council. Local businesses would like us to do more than we do. It might be easier if we had an in-house service rather than going out to tender.

Councillor Jacobs said that he agreed with the responses in the light of where we were now.

Councillor Mrs Sartin said there were problems with whichever way we went, and she could not see it changing if we have a change of government as Europe was behind it.

RESOLVED:

- (1) That the Government consultation on possible landfill bans on specified wastes be noted;
- (2) That the considered responses to the consultation as outlined in paragraph 15 of the report along with comments made by the Panel be agreed and set out in the members bulletin.
- (3) That the consultation response be referred to the Overview and Scrutiny Committee.

46. **REVISION OF TERMS OF REFERENCE**

At its meeting on 20 April 2010the Council considered a recommendation from the Cabinet to:

(1) To agree to the dissolution of the West Essex Waste Management Joint Committee;

(2) To agree to the proposal to create a Waste Partnership Member Board and Inter Authority Member Working Group;

- (3) That the Council's representative on the new Board and Member Group
- be the Cabinet Portfolio Holder with responsibility for waste management;
- (4) To consider an appointment of a Deputy; and
- (5) To amend the Council's Constitution accordingly.

They resolved to accept the above recommendations.

In the light of that decision it was now necessary for the Panel to amend its terms of reference, deleting clause 2 references to the West Essex Joint Waste (Management) Committee and replacing it with references to the Waste Partnership Members Board and Inter Authority Agreement Member Group.

Resolved:

(1) To amend clause 2 of the Terms of Reference of the Panel to reflect changes in the Member monitoring arrangements of the Essex Waste Strategy by deleting the reference to the West Essex Joint Waste Management Committee and replacing it with references to the Waste Partnership Member Board and the Inter Authority Member Working Group; and

(2) To report to Overview & Scrutiny Committee accordingly.

47. WASTE MANAGEMENT PARTNERSHIP BOARD MINUTES

The Panel noted the minutes of the Waste Management Partnership Board Meetings held on 28 September 2009, 13 November 2009, 19 January 2010 and 16 March 2010.

48. REPORT TO BE MADE TO THE NEXT OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY MEETING

To report back to the Overview and Scrutiny Committee on the change of the terms of reference and the Defra consultation on land filling.